

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	GIN-5381 CONFIRMATION NO. 7090	
09/921,994	08/03/2001	Michael R. Bowman	GIN-5381		
75	90 11/06/2002				
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112-3801			EXAMINER		
			FREDMAN, JEFFREY NORMAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637	^	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
<u>{</u>		09/921,994		BOWMAN, MICHAEL R.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Jeffrey Fredman		1637	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period is to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the set of the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower y within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S e, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. & 133).	unication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a)[— · nis action is non-fir	nal		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa			secution as to the m	nerite is
,	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	1161112 12
·	on of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application		4! a. a.		
	ta) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	tion.		
n	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
<u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	olootion roquiroma	. m4		
Application		election requireme	int.		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objecte	d to by the Exam	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved	d b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office acti	on.		
12)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).	
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:				
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receiv	ved.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receiv	ed in Application	n No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17	⁷ .2(a)).		ge
14)⊠ Ad	knowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional ap	plication).
_ a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application	n has been recei	ved.	,
Attachment(:	s)				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 1		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-15	
S. Patent and Trad TO-326 (Rev.		tion Summary		Part of Par	per No. 9

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-6, 18, drawn to nucleic acids and host cells, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claim 7, drawn to nonhuman mammals, classified in class 800, subclass2.
 - III. Claims 8-10, 12, drawn to polypeptides and methods of making polypeptides, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
 - IV. Claim 11, drawn to antibodies, classified in class 435, subclass 387.1.
 - V. Claims 13-14, drawn to methods of polypeptide detection, classified in class 435, subclass 7.1.
 - VI. Claim 15, drawn to compounds which bind polypeptides, classified in class 514, subclass 1.
 - VII. Claims 16-17, drawn to methods for detection of nucleic acids, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
 - VIII. Claims 19-23, drawn to screening for compounds which interact with polypeptides, classified in class 436, subclass 501.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions in Group I and in Groups II, III, IV, V, VI and VIII are distinct.

Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different

Art Unit: 1637

effects. In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because nucleic acids are chemically and structurally different from nonhuman mammals, from polypeptides, from antibodies and from methods of using or screening polypeptides. In particular, nucleic acids differ in their mode of operation, operating by hybridization or by encoding information which must be transcribed and translated while proteins operate by interaction and/or enzymatic activity. Further, proteins and nucleic acids have different functions and effects in cells with nucleic acids serving as the genetic information.

- 3. Inventions in Group I and in Group VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acid product can be used in the protein expression method, in the nucleic acid detection method of Group VIII, in nucleic acid purification methods, in amplification methods or in microarray methods.
- 4. Inventions in Group II and in Groups III-VIII are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because the nonhuman mammals are physically, structurally and biologically different from the proteins, the antibodies, and the methods of making, screening and using proteins and nucleic acids. The nonhuman mammals differ in operation, in function and effect from each of the other groups with the

Art Unit: 1637

mammals being living organisms while the rest of the claims are drawn to isolated products or methods.

- 5. Inventions in Group III and in Groups IV, VI and VII are distinct from one another. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because the polypeptide of Group III differs structurally and chemically from the antibody of Group IV, the compounds of Group VI and is not functional in the nucleic acid detection method of Group VII. The polypeptide differs in operation and effect from the antibodies and compounds.
- 6. Inventions in Group III and in Groups V and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polypeptides can be used in the detection method of Group V, in the screening method of Group VIII, in enzymatic or functional assays or in production of antibodies.
- 7. Inventions in Group IV and in Group V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially

Art Unit: 1637

different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibodies can be used in the detection method of Group V, in purification methods, in generation of aptamers or in gel shift assays.

- 8. Inventions in Group IV and in Group VIII are distinct from one another.

 Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because the antibody of Group IV differs structurally and chemically from the compounds found in Group VIII and because the product is not used in the method of Group VIII and differs in operation and effect from the Group VIII method.
- 9. Inventions in Group V and in Groups VI, VII and VIII are distinct. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because the method of polypeptide detection of Group V differs in mode of operation, function and effect from the compounds of Group VI, from the nucleic acid method of Group VII and from the compound screening method of Group VIII.
- 10. Inventions in Group VII and in Groups VI and VIII are distinct from one another. Inventions are distinct if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. In the instant case the different inventions are distinct because the method of

Art Unit: 1637

nucleic acid detection of Group VII differs in mode of operation, function and effect from the compounds of Group VI and from the compound screening method of Group VIII.

- 11. Inventions in Group VIII and in Group VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product can be made by the screening method of Group VIII or by chemical synthesis.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. A telephone call was made to Debra Milasinicic on November 6, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Fredman whose telephone number is 703-308-6568. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 1637

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Jeffrey Fredman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1637

November 6, 2002